```
Samuel A. Schwartz, Esq.
 1
     Nevada Bar No. 10985
2
     Bryan A. Lindsey, Esq.
     Nevada Bar No. 10662
 3
     Schwartz Flansburg PLLC
     6623 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 300
 5
     Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
     Telephone: (702) 385-5544
 6
     Facsimile: (702) 385-2741
7
     Proposed Attorneys for the Chapter 7 Trustee, Victoria L. Nelson
 8
                          UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 9
                              FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA
10
      In re:
                                                     CASE NO.: 15-10110-LED
11
12
      AMERI-DREAM REALTY, LLC,
                                                     Chapter 7
13
                              Debtor.
14
15
      VICTORIA NELSON, In Her Capacity As The
16
      Chapter 7 Trustee Of AMERI-DREAM
                                                     ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.
17
     REALTY, LLC,
18
                            Plaintiff,
19
20
      VS.
21
22
     ELSIE PELADAS-BROWN,
23
                            Defendant.
24
25
26
                                            COMPLAINT
27
           The Chapter 7 Trustee, Victoria L. Nelson (the "Trustee"), by and through her
28
29
     attorneys, Schwartz Flansburg PLLC, sues Elsie Peladas-Brown (the "Defendant") for breach
30
     of fiduciary duty, common law misrepresentation, and negligent misrepresentation and states:
31
32
33
34
                                               1
```

JURISDICTION, PARTIES AND VENUE

- 1. In 2014, the Defendant was a member, manager and property manager of Ameri-Dream Realty, LLC (the "Company"), a real estate sales and property management company, which was based in Las Vegas, Nevada, prior to filing for relief under Chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. The Company was family owned and operated prior to its collapse. The Defendant was a member and manager for all time periods that are the subject of this lawsuit.
- 2. The Company is a resident of the State of Nevada and conducted significant business activities in the District of Nevada. The Defendant is believed to be a resident of the State of Nevada, but upon information and belief, fled to Philippines.
- 3. The Plaintiff is the Court-appointed Trustee over the Company in Case No. 15-10110-LED, United States Bankruptcy Court, for the District of Nevada (the "Action").
- 4. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over all claims in this case because they are asserted in connection with the Trustee's duties to recover assets on behalf of the estate, and because the allegations in this lawsuit share a common nexus of facts with those in the Action.
- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant and venue is proper in the Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada because: a) the Defendant engaged in significant business in this District; b) the Defendant's wrongful conduct occurred in significant part in this District; and c) the Company is a debtor before this Court, and holds the claims asserted in this Complaint.

General Overview

- 6. As part of its business, the Company managed residential rental properties (the "Business"). In the normal course of its Business, the Company received and held rental security deposits on behalf of its customers' tenants. At the time of the wrongful actions asserted herein, the Company held in excess of \$1,200,000 of tenant security deposit money (the "Security Deposits").
- 7. Under Nevada Revised Statutes Section 645.310(1), security deposits for tenants are to be retained until the termination of the underlying lease or rental transaction.
- 8. In late March of 2014, the Company discovered that significant funds were missing from the bank account designated to hold tenant security deposits. At the time of the theft, the Company held security deposits for more than 1,000 tenants.
- 9. The Trustee asserts the Defendant orchestrated various unauthorized transactions, unbeknownst to the Company or her co-manager and husband, John M. Brown ("**Brown**"), which transactions included the wire transfers of the majority of the Security Deposits to the Philippines.
- 10. The Trustee understands the Security Deposits were disbursed in the Philippines and are not recoverable. The Defendant apparently disbursed the Security Deposits to friends and family in need after the damage caused by Typhoon Haiyan in November of 2013. Typhoon Haiyan was reported to be one of the strongest storms ever recorded, with winds reaching or exceeding 195 miles per hour.
- 11. Mr. Brown had no knowledge of the Defendant's scheme, and on May 4, 2015, was divorced from the Defendant. The divorce decree, which was uncontested, requires the Defendant to indemnify Mr. Brown and the Company from any claims of embezzlement or theft

6 7

10

13

16

18

20

22

24

26 27

28

29 30

31 32

33

34

relating to the loss of the Security Deposits. Mr. Brown has not been charged with a crime in this matter, and is available to testify if called as a witness.

Defendant's Knowledge

- 12. At all times relevant to this Complaint, the Defendant was a member, manager and the property manager for the Company. The Defendant was also a licensed real estate agent and property manager in the State of Nevada, and a member of the Greater Association of Las Vegas Realtors.
- 13. As a licensed realtor and property manager in the State of Nevada, the Defendant is charged with the knowledge and responsibility of safeguarding the Security Deposits. It is undeniable in light of the Defendant's licenses that she knew sending the Security Deposits to the Philippines would be a violation of the law, and would cause her to lose her real estate licenses, which licenses are now inactive. The Defendant also knew she had a duty to manage the Security Deposits prudently and in a fashion that minimized risk.
- 14. In sum, the Defendant had the knowledge and the motive to breach her fiduciary duties to the Company, its customers and its tenants, and in fact did breach by secretly transferring the Security Deposits to the Philippines. The transfers of the Security Deposits were made for no consideration at all, and the Defendant understood the Security Deposits could not possibly be repaid.
- 15. As a result of the foregoing, the Trustee retained counsel and agreed to pay her counsel a reasonable fee for their services.
- 16. All conditions precedent to the institution of this action have been performed, waived or excused.

Count I, Breach of Fiduciary Duty to the Company

- 17. The Plaintiff hereby realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 16, as if fully set forth herein.
- 18. As a manager of the Company, Defendant owed fiduciary duties to the Company.
- 19. Through improper action or wrongful conduct and without privilege, the Defendant breached her fiduciary duties to the Company.
- 20. The Defendant had knowledge she was breaching her fiduciary duties, and acted purposely and with malice and the intent to injure the Company.
- 21. The tortious conduct of the Defendant proximately caused the damage to the Company because the Security Deposits were transferred for no consideration, and the Defendant knew it.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, as the Trustee for the Company, demands judgment against the Defendant for the total amount of the Security Deposits, plus prejudgment and post-judgment interest, and such further relief that this Court deems to be appropriate and just.

Count II, Common Law Misrepresentation to the Company

- 22. The Plaintiff hereby realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 16, as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. The Defendant had a duty to the Company to use ordinary care when representing the reasons for transferring the Security Deposits.
- 24. The Defendant breached her duty of care to the Company by falsely representing the transfer of the Security Deposits was an appropriate transaction for the Company to undertake.

- 25. In particular, under Nevada law, the Defendant is required to safeguard the Security Deposits on behalf of the tenants.
- 26. As a result of the Defendant's false representations of the appropriateness of the wire transfers of the Security Deposits, the Company transferred the Security Deposits for no consideration.
- 27. The Company suffered damages as a result of the transfer of the Security Deposits, and those damages were proximately caused by Defendant's misrepresentations.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, in her capacity as the Trustee for the Company, hereby demands judgment against the Defendant for the total amount of the Security Deposits, plus prejudgment and post-judgment interest, and any additional relief that this Court deems to be appropriate and just.

Count III, Negligent Misrepresentation

- 28. The Plaintiff hereby realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 16, as if fully set forth herein.
- 29. The Defendant had a duty to the Company to use ordinary care when safeguarding the Security Deposits. The Defendant breached her duty of care to the Company by falsely transferring the Security Deposits.
- 30. In particular, the tenants managed by the Company relied on the representations of the Defendant that the Security Deposits were safe. As a result of these false representations of the safety of the Security Deposits, nearly 1,000 tenants transferred their money to the Company, even though the Defendant knew or should have known that those payments would never be repaid, given the Defendant's plan to abscond with the money.

31. The Company suffered damages as a result of the transfer of the Security Deposits and those damages were proximately caused by the Defendant's misrepresentations regarding the safety of the Security Deposits.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, in her capacity as the Trustee for the Company, hereby demands judgment against the Defendant for the total amount of the Security Deposit, plus prejudgment and post-judgment interest, and any additional relief that this Court deems to be appropriate and just.

Count IV, Declaration the Company and John M. Brown are Innocent

- 32. The Plaintiff hereby realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 16, as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. The Company was unaware at all times relevant to this Complaint the Defendant conspired to abscond with the Security Deposits to the Philippines.
- 34. Mr. Brown was unaware at all times relevant to this complaint the Defendant conspired to abscond with the Security Deposits to the Philippines.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, in her capacity as the Trustee for the Company, hereby demands a declaration from the Court that both the Company and Mr. Brown were unaware of the Defendant's plan to transfer the Security Deposits to the Philippines, and are innocent of the claims asserted in this Complaint.

JURY WAIVER The Plaintiff hereby waives trial by jury with respect to all issues so triable. Respectfully submitted, May 21, 2015. SCHWARTZ FLANSBURG PLLC /s/ Samuel A. Schwartz Samuel A. Schwartz, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10985 Bryan A. Lindsey, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10662 Schwartz Flansburg PLLC 6623 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Telephone: (702) 385-5544 Facsimile: (702) 385-2741 Proposed Attorneys for Chapter 7 Trustee, Victoria L. Nelson